

Jo's first cut

engage with

materiality over the image

"it wants to be watercolor"

its not an obvious ↑ painting - I don't know what it is

* are the torn edges incidental or not?

accidental or not?

* these works look more melancholic than previous

middle work - negative space (I think she ~~means~~ shape) means the

missing the mud layering are intriguing

* mineralogical properties

* mixture of figurative + landscape

but x prints on sep wall look more 'snapshot' in reading

Nicole trying to connect ↑ these with the landscapes

is there a narrative here?

do they walk in the fields?

- appear historical, weathered old photographs / home, family sentimental

+ the x 2 on the wall with figs look opp. newspaper cutting

Q: the use of the colour blue? - the association of the colour blue.

a dissolving of mud + colours.

between → assoc. with pollution (in the landscape)

+ for polluting the watercolours.

machinery + landscape → quite political

see water, fluidity - flipped the assoc. of the 2 colours.

app. of the paint itself, quite thick in some of them.

hints of machinery / as objects in the landscape

Jo: blue is to indicate toxicity

within the frame - the highlights to keep form
but in the landscape the highlights are not operating

Q: are we seeing everything? No

there has been a selection process, ∴ a rational
~~has happened,~~
~~been applied.~~

The double exposed one has some interesting qualities

use of fertilizer as a dip

pictorial edge of the frame. (due to masking)
tape?

Q: What if the mud materiality is ramped up?

Reifer - desolation

immersive scale

Mentality - dogged - do 100s + then see what it does.
thinking process, you don't see it till it's up close